

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/545,628 04/07/2000 Richard Tad Lepman Berk-37617 2145 7590 08/04/2003 Scott W Kelley **EXAMINER** Kelly Bauersfeld Lowry & Kelly LLP RUDY, ANDREW J 6320 Canoga Avenue Suite 1650 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Woodland Hills, CA 91367 3627

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.         | (Amiliaant/a)   |
|---|-------------------------|---|
| Office Action Summary   | Application No.         | Applicant(s)  |
|   | 09/545,628              | LEPMAN, RICHARD TAD                                     |
|   | Examin r                | Art Unit  |
|   | Andrew Joseph Rudy      | 3627  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shall with the correspond ance address Period for Reply   |                         |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                         |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M   | <u>1av 2003</u> .       |   |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | is action is non-final. |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                         |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |                         |   |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.   |                         |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                         |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |   |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.   |                         |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                         |   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |                         |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |   |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                         |   |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |                         |   |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                         |   |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                         |   |
| 13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                         |   |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                         |   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                         |   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                         |   |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                         |   |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                         |   |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                         |   |
| Attachment(s)   |                         |   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal I | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  |                         |   |

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/545,628 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. Claims 16-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 5 received May 28, 2003. It is noted that the PTO-326

inadvertently only listed claims 1-30 as being subject to election requirement. Clearly, claims 1-

43 were subject to this requirement. It is noted that Applicant did not explicitly elect without

traverse, but the nature of the election reasonably conveyed such to the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curley

"Royal Bank unearths profitability solution".

Application/Control Number: 09/545,628 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Curley discloses a method of performing financial processing for an account using software for a computer measuring profit based on the factors of net interest revenue, other revenues, direct expenses, indirect expenses and risk, all set up to take advantage of flexible business rules.

To have provided the business rules to calculate known variations of one of the factors, e.g. calculating value of funds, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Doing such would incorporate known data designed to used along with the software disclosed by Curley. Further, to have calculated well-known marginal value of profit and a fully absorbed profit adjustment and combine them to measure an object level profitability for Curley would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Doing such would implement well known mechanisms for measuring profit with the computer system disclosed by Curley. Also, to use well known database to comprise a structured query language (SQL) or preparing to include extracting, conditioning and loading a financial statement in a financial computing system for Curley would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Doing such would implement well-known database language and processing used in computing financial analysis systems.

4. Further pertinent references are noted on the attached Notices of References Cited, PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

## **Drawings**

- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to describe Figs. 2-5 as described in the specification. Also, Fig. 7 does not describe steps 3-6 as detailed from page 17 of the present Application. Further, Fig. 1 apparently is discloses as prior art from page 9 of the specification, but it is not labeled as such. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Archer Joseph Roby